arXiv:1612.02410v1 [math.SP] 7 Dec 2016

A general trace formula for the differential operator on a segment with the last coefficient perturbed by a finite signed measure

E.D. Galkovskii*, A.I. Nazarov†

To the memory of M.Z. Solomyak

Abstract

A first order trace formula is obtained for a regular differential operator perturbed by a finite signed measure multiplication operator.

1 Introduction

Consider an operator $\mathbb{L}$ on a segment $[a,b]$ that is defined by a differential expression of order $n \geqslant 2$

$$\ell := (-i)^n D^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} p_k(x) D^k, \tag{1}$$

(here $p_k \in L_1(a,b)$ are complex-valued functions) and boundary conditions

$$(P_j(D)y)(a) + (Q_j(D)y)(b) = 0, \qquad j = 0, \dots, n-1. \tag{2}$$

Here P_j and Q_j are polynomials whose degrees do not exceed $n-1$. Let d_j be the maximum of degrees of P_j and Q_j. Suppose a_j and b_j are the d_j-th coefficients of P_j and Q_j respectively (therefore, a_j, b_j cannot be zeros simultaneously).

We assume that the system of boundary conditions (2) is normalized , i.e. $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_j$ is minimal among all the systems of boundary condition that can be obtained from (2) by linear bijective transformations. See [3, ch. II, §4] for a detailed explanation and [10] for a more advance treatment.

We assume the boundary conditions (2) to be Birkhoff regular, see [3, ch. II, §4]. Then the operator $\mathbb{L}$ has purely discrete spectrum[1], which we denote by $\{\lambda_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$. In what follows

*Chebyshev Laboratory, St. Petersburg State University, 14th Line V.O., 29B, Saint Petersburg 199178 Russia. E-mail: egor_maths@list.ru.

†St. Petersburg Department of V.A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences; St. Petersburg State University. E-mail: al.il.nazarov@gmail.com.

[1]We underline that we do not require $\mathbb{L}$ to be self-adjoint.

we always enumerate the eigenvalues in ascending order of their absolute values according to their multiplicities (that means $|\lambda_N| \leqslant |\lambda_{N+1}|$).

Let $\mathfrak{M}[a,b]$ be the space of finite complex-valued measures. Denote by $\mathbb{Q}$ the operator of multiplication by $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[a,b]$. Then the operator $\mathbb{L}_\mathfrak{q} = \mathbb{L} + \mathbb{Q}$ has also a purely discrete spectrum $\{\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q})\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$.

We are interested in the regularized trace

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) := \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left[\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}) - \lambda_N - \frac{1}{b-a} \int\limits_{[a,b]} \mathfrak{q}(dx) \right].$$

Without loss of generality we suppose that $\int\limits_{[a,b]} \mathfrak{q}(dx) = 0$.

The first formula for a regularized trace was obtained by I.M. Gelfand and B.M. Levitan in 1953. In [1] they considered the problem

$$-y'' + \mathfrak{q}(x)y = \lambda y; \qquad y(0) = y(\pi) = 0 \tag{3}$$

and showed that for real-valued function $\mathfrak{q}(x) \in \mathcal{C}^1[0,\pi]$ the following relation holds:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) = -\frac{\mathfrak{q}(0) + \mathfrak{q}(\pi)}{4}.$$

The paper [1] generated many improvements and generalizations, see a survey of V.A. Sadovnichii and V.E. Podolskii [6].

In the recent work [4] A.I. Nazarov, D.M. Stolyarov and P.B. Zatitskiy obtained formula

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{\psi_a(a+)}{2n} \cdot \mathbf{tr}\,(\mathbb{A}) + \frac{\psi_b(b-)}{2n} \cdot \mathbf{tr}\,(\mathbb{B}), \tag{4}$$

for arbitrary $n \geqslant 2$ and regular boundary conditions, under assumptions that are standard now[2]; namely, $\mathfrak{q} \in L_1(a,b)$ and the functions

$$\psi_a(x) = \frac{1}{x-a} \int\limits_a^x \mathfrak{q}(t)dt, \qquad \psi_b(x) = \frac{1}{b-x} \int\limits_x^b \mathfrak{q}(t)dt$$

have bounded variations at points a and b respectively. In (4) $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ stand for the matrices with elements that can be expressed in terms of a_j and b_j, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Moreover, it was shown in [4] that in important special case, where the boundary conditions are **almost separated**, the values $\mathbf{tr}\,(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathbf{tr}\,(\mathbb{B})$ in (4) can be reduced and expressed using only the sums of degrees of polynomials P_j and Q_j respectively.

Absolutely new phenomenon was discovered in our century by A.M. Savchuk and A.A. Shkalikov [7, 8]. Namely, let $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[0,\pi]$ be a signed measure locally continuous at points 0 and π. Then for the problem (3) we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) = -\frac{\mathfrak{q}(0) + \mathfrak{q}(\pi)}{4} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_j h_j^2, \tag{5}$$

[2]Formula (4) was earlier proved by R.F. Shevchenko [9] for the operator $\mathbb{L}$ without lower-order terms and a smooth function $\mathfrak{q}$.

where h_j stand for the jumps of the distribution function for the measure $\mathfrak{q}$. The series $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ in this case is summed by mean-value method.

Thus, for $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[a,b]$ the regularized trace becomes non-linear functional of $\mathfrak{q}$. For δ-potential this effect was slightly generalized in [2].

We generalize formula (5) for the operator $\mathbb{L}$ with arbitrary regular boundary conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section §2 contains main results and some intermediate assertions. These assertions are proved in §§3 – 5. The Appendix includes asymptotics of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Sturm-Liouville operators. These asymptotics are used in the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Let us introduce some notation. One can split a complex-valued measure $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[a,b]$ into two parts – continuous and discrete. We denote them by $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ respectively, so that

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c} + \sum_j h_j \delta(x - x_j), \qquad \sum_j |h_j| < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Denote by $\|\mathfrak{q}\|$ the total variation of $\mathfrak{q}$. We also define the distribution function

$$\mathcal{Q}(x) = \int\limits_{[a,x]} \mathfrak{q}(dt).$$

Thus, h_j is the jump of $\mathcal{Q}$ at the point x_j.

Denote by $\mathbb{L}_0$ the operator generated by the differential expression $\ell_0 = (-i)^n D^n$ and regular boundary conditions (2). The eigenvalues of $\mathbb{L}_0$ are denoted by $\{\lambda_N^0\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$.

Further, $G(x,y,\lambda)$, $G_{\mathfrak{q}}(x,y,\lambda)$, and $G_0(x,y,\lambda)$ stand for the Green functions of operators $\mathbb{L} - \lambda$, $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} - \lambda$ and $\mathbb{L}_0 - \lambda$ respectively, see [3], ch. I, §3.

For arbitrary function $\Phi(\lambda)$ defined on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, we introduce the function $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$ by the formula

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \Phi(\lambda), \qquad \text{where} \qquad z = \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}},\ Arg(z) \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{n}).$$

Note that the resolvent $\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}$ is an integral operator with a kernel $G(x,y,\lambda)$. So one can define the trace

$$\mathbf{Sp}\, \frac{1}{\mathbb{L} - \lambda} = \int\limits_a^b G(x,x,\lambda)\, dx.$$

Recall the definition of summation by mean-value method (Cesàro summation of order 1). Let I_ℓ be a sequence of partial sums corresponding to the series $\sum\limits_j a_j$. The series is called mean-value summable if the following limit exists:

$$(\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\lim_{\ell\to\infty} I_\ell := (\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j := \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} I_\ell.$$

All positive constants whose exact values are not important are denoted by C.

2 Formulation of results

Our main result for the second order operators reads as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $n = 2$ and that the distribution function of the measure $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[a,b]$ is differentiable at points a and b. Let the boundary conditions (2) be regular. Then the following formula holds:*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}'(a) + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}'(b) - \frac{1}{8}\sum_j h_j^2. \tag{7}$$

Here the series $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ is mean-value summable, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = -\frac{1}{4} \quad &\text{if} \quad d_0 = d_1 = 0; \\ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \frac{1}{4} \quad &\text{if} \quad d_0 = d_1 = 1; \\ \mathcal{A} = -\mathcal{B} = \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{a_1 b_0 - a_0 b_1}{a_1 b_0 + a_0 b_1} \quad &\text{if} \quad d_0 = 0,\ d_1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the nonlinear term in (7) **does not depend** on boundary conditions while the coefficients of the linear term are completely determined by the boundary conditions.

For higher-order differential operators the perturbation considered is weak, and the dependency of the regularized trace on $\mathfrak{q}$ remains to be linear.

Statement 2.2. *Suppose that $n \geq 3$ and that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[a,b]$ is a measure subject to the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Let the boundary conditions (2) be regular. Then the following formula holds:*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}'(a)}{2n} \cdot \boldsymbol{tr}(\mathbb{A}) + \frac{\mathcal{Q}'(b)}{2n} \cdot \boldsymbol{tr}(\mathbb{B}).$$

Here the series $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ are mean-value summable, and the matrices $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ are the same as in (4), see [4, Theorem 2].

This statement will be proved in full generality in a forthcoming paper. Here we prove Theorem 2.1 and some auxiliary statements.

Theorem 2.3. *For every sequence $R = R_\ell \to \infty$ separated from $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ the following relations hold:*

1. *if $n \geqslant 3$ then*

$$\sum_{\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}),\lambda_N<R} \Big[\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}) - \lambda_N\Big] = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,x,\lambda)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda + o(1);$$

2. *if $n = 2$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}),\lambda_N<R} \Big[\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}) - \lambda_N\Big] \;&=\; -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,x,\lambda)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda \\ &+\; \frac{1}{4\pi i}\sum_j h_j^2 \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} G_0(x_j,x_j,\lambda)^2\,d\lambda + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that $n = 2$ and that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[a,b]$ is a measure subject to the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Let $R = R_\ell \to \infty$ be a sequence separated from $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that:*

1. *if the boundary conditions (2) are strongly regular (see, e.g., [10]) then for ℓ large enough there is exactly one value $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ between R_ℓ and $R_{\ell+1}$;*
2. *if the boundary conditions (2) are regular, but not strongly regular, then for ℓ large enough there is exactly one pair of values $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ between R_ℓ and $R_{\ell+1}$.*

Then

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\cdot(\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\lim \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n}\int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,x,\lambda)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}'(a)+\mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}'(b), \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are the same as in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that $n = 2$ and that $x \neq a, b$. Then for every sequence $R = R_\ell \to \infty$ separated from $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have*

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} G_0(x,x,\lambda)^2\,d\lambda = -\frac{\pi i}{2}.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 2.3

We use some statements obtained in [4]. The first statement generalizes the Tamarkin equiconvergence Theorem [11], the second one provides estimates of the Green functions.

Proposition 3.1. *([4, Theorem 1]) For every sequence $R = R_\ell \to \infty$ separated from $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ the relation*

$$\int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} |(G_0 - G)(x,y,\lambda)|\,|d\lambda| \to 0$$

holds uniformly in $x, y \in [a,b]$.

Proposition 3.2. *([4, Lemma 1 and (22)]) Put*

$$\Gamma_1 = \Big\{w = e^{i\phi} : \phi \in \Big(0, \frac{\pi}{n}\Big)\Big\}; \qquad \Gamma_2 = \Big\{w = e^{i\phi} : \phi \in \Big(\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{2\pi}{n}\Big)\Big\}.$$

Then for every $x \in [a,b]$

$$R^{n-1}\cdot|\tilde{G}_0(x,y,Rw)| \to 0 \tag{10}$$

for almost all $y \in [a,b]$ and almost all $w \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. Moreover, the convergence is uniform on the set $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{J}$ for every compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset [a,b]^2$, separated from corners and diagonal $\{x = y\}$ and every compact set $\mathcal{J} \subset \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$.

Further, assume that all coefficients p_k, $k = 0, \dots, n-2$, in the differential expression (1) belong to the space $\mathfrak{M}[a,b]$. Then for every sequence $R = R_\ell \to \infty$ separated from $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and for all $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ the functions

$$R^{n-1-j}\cdot|(\tilde{G})_x^{(j)}(x,y,Rw)|$$

are uniformly bounded in $[a,b]^2 \times (\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$.

Remark 1. *The second part of this statement is proved in [4] for $p_k \in L_1(a,b)$. However, the proof runs without changes for $p_k \in \mathfrak{M}[a,b]$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We will start from the relation (see [4, (24), (25)]):

$$\begin{aligned}
&4\pi i \sum_{\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}),\lambda_N<R} \Big[\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}) - \lambda_N\Big] \\
&= -\int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \lambda\, \mathbf{Sp}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\,\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\right)\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\,\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\right)\right) d\lambda \\
&+ \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \lambda\, \mathbf{Sp}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\,\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\,\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\right) d\lambda =: -I_1(R) + I_2(R).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Under assumptions of Theorem 2.3 we have $I_1(R) = o(1)$ as $R \to \infty$.*

Proof. We rewrite $I_1(R)$ in terms of the Green functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(R) = \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \int\limits_a^b \int\limits_{[a,b]} &\lambda\, G(x,y,\lambda) \\
&\times \int\limits_{[a,b]}\int\limits_{[a,b]} G_{\mathfrak{q}}(y,s,\lambda)\, G(s,t,\lambda)\, G_{\mathfrak{q}}(t,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{q}(dt)\mathfrak{q}(ds)\mathfrak{q}(dy)\, dx\, d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $n \geqslant 3$. Then the estimate from Proposition 3.2 implies

$$|I_1(R)| \leqslant R^{2n}\|\mathfrak{q}\|^3\, \frac{C}{R^{4(n-1)}} = o(1).$$

For $n = 2$ the proof is more complicated. From the same estimate we obtain for $x, y \in [a,b]$

$$\left|\lambda \int\limits_{[a,b]}\int\limits_{[a,b]} G_{\mathfrak{q}}(y,s,\lambda)\, G(s,t,\lambda)\, G_{\mathfrak{q}}(t,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{q}(dt)\mathfrak{q}(ds)\right| \leqslant \|\mathfrak{q}\|^2\, \frac{C}{R}, \tag{11}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1(R)| &\leqslant \|\mathfrak{q}\|^2\, \frac{C}{R} \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \int\limits_a^b \int\limits_{[a,b]} |G(x,y,\lambda)|\, |\mathfrak{q}|(dy)\, dx\, |d\lambda| \\
&\overset{*}{=} \|\mathfrak{q}\|^2\, \frac{C}{R} \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \int\limits_a^b \int\limits_{[a,b]} |G_0(x,y,\lambda)|\, |\mathfrak{q}|(dy)\, dx\, |d\lambda| + o(1) \\
&\leqslant \|\mathfrak{q}\|^2\, C \int\limits_{\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2} \int\limits_a^b \int\limits_{[a,b]} R\cdot|\tilde{G}_0(x,y,Rw)|\, |\mathfrak{c}|(dy)\, dx\, |dw| \\
&+ \sum_j \|\mathfrak{q}\|^2\, C\, |h_j| \int\limits_{\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2} \int\limits_a^b R\cdot|\tilde{G}_0(x,x_j,Rw)|\, dx\, |dw| + o(1) \\
&=: I_{11}(R) + I_{12}(R) + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

(the relation $*$ follows from Proposition 3.1).

Due to the estimates from Proposition 3.2 the integrand in $I_{12}(R)$ is bounded uniformly in j. Moreover, under assumptions of Theorem 2.3 the measure $\mathfrak{q}$ has no atoms at the endpoints of the segment, i.e. $x_j \in (a,b)$. Hence the relation (10) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem imply $I_{12}(R) = o(1)$.

Now we estimate $I_{11}(R)$. Since $\mathfrak{c}$ is continuous, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every segment with the length less than δ, the total variation of $\mathfrak{c}$ on this segment does not exceed ε. We choose a compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset [a,b]^2$ separated from corners and diagonal $\{x = y\}$ so that for every $x \in [a,b]$ the set $\mathcal{K}_x = \{y \in [a,b] : (x,y) \notin \mathcal{K}\}$ is a conjunction of three or less intervals with the length less than δ. Also we choose a compact set $\mathcal{J} \subset \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ so that the measure of $(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) \setminus \mathcal{J}$ does not exceed ε.

The integral over $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{J}$ tends to zero as $R \to \infty$ by Proposition 3.2. The integral over the remainder set can be estimated by $C\varepsilon$.

Thus, $|I_1(R)| \leqslant C\varepsilon + o(1)$. Since ε is arbitrarily small, the statement follows. □

We continue the proof of Theorem 2.3. Using the relation $\mathbf{Sp}(ABC) = \mathbf{Sp}(BCA)$ and integrating by parts, we rewrite $I_2(R)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(R) &= \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \lambda\, \mathbf{Sp}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\,\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\,\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\right) d\lambda \\ &= \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \mathbf{Sp}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{(\mathbb{L}-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\lambda}{(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda)^2}\right)\mathbb{Q}\right) d\lambda \\ &= -\int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \mathbf{Sp}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\right)\mathbb{Q}\right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Hilbert resolvent identity to the second term and obtain

$$I_2(R) = -2\int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \mathbf{Sp}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\right) d\lambda + \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n} \mathbf{Sp}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\,\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\right) d\lambda. \tag{12}$$

By the estimate from Proposition 3.2, for $n \geqslant 3$ the second term in (12) is bounded by $CR^{2-n} = o(1)$. We rewrite the first term in terms of the Green function and obtain

$$I_2(R) = -2\int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n}\int\limits_{[a,b]} G(x,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda + o(1) = -2\int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n}\int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda + o(1)$$

(the last equality follows from Proposition 3.1). This equality and Lemma 3.1 give the first statement of Theorem.

If $n = 2$ we apply the Hilbert resolvent identity to the second term in (12) and obtain

$$I_2(R) = \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \mathbf{Sp}\left(\frac{-2}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q} + \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}-\lambda}\,\mathbb{Q}\right) d\lambda.$$

By the estimate from Proposition 3.2, the last term here is bounded by $CR^{-1} = o(1)$. We rewrite the remaining terms via the Green function and replace G by G_0 similarly to case $n \geqslant 3$. Thus we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(R) &= -2 \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda \\ &+ \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \int\limits_{[a,b]} \int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,y,\lambda)\,G_0(y,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{q}(dy)\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

It remains to simplify the second term in (13). We denote it by $I_3(R)$ and rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(R) &= \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \int\limits_{[a,b]} \int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,y,\lambda)\,G_0(y,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{c}(dy)(\mathfrak{c}(dx)+2\mathfrak{d}(dx))\,d\lambda \\ &+ \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} \int\limits_{[a,b]} \int\limits_{[a,b]} G_0(x,y,\lambda)\,G_0(y,x,\lambda)\mathfrak{d}(dy)\mathfrak{d}(dx)\,d\lambda =: I_{31}(R) + I_{32}(R). \end{aligned}$$

The integral $I_{31}(R)$ can be estimated in the same way as $I_{11}(R)$. This gives $|I_{31}(R)| \leqslant C\varepsilon + o(1)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Further, we have

$$I_{32}(R) = \sum_{j,k} h_k h_j \int\limits_{\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2} 2R^2 \cdot \tilde{G}_0(x_j,x_k,Rw)\,\tilde{G}_0(x_k,x_j,Rw)\,dw.$$

By (10), all terms with $j \neq k$ tend to zero as $R \to \infty$. Using the Lebesgue Theorem we obtain

$$I_{32}(R) = \sum_j h_j^2 \int\limits_{\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2} 2R^2 \cdot \tilde{G}_0(x_j,x_j,Rw)^2\,dw + o(1) = \sum_j h_j^2 \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} G_0(x_j,x_j,\lambda)^2\,d\lambda + o(1).$$

This relation, formula (13) and estimates of I_1 and I_{31} give us (8). □

4 Proof of Theorem 2.4

Changing variables we can assume $a = 0$, $b = 1$. Since formula (9) is known for smooth $\mathfrak{q}$, it is sufficient to prove Theorem in the case $\mathcal{Q}'(0) = \mathcal{Q}'(1) = 0$. Moreover, we can impose some additional orthogonality conditions on $\mathfrak{q}$. This notice will be used later.

We also need the following statement, which is a particular case of [8, Лемма 1].

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that the measure $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}[0,1]$ satisfies assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and that $\mathcal{Q}'(0) = \mathcal{Q}'(1) = 0$. Then*

$$(\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{[0,1]} \cos(2\pi\ell x)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Expanding the Green function in a neighborhood of a pole, see [3, ch. I, §3], and using the residue theorem we rewrite the integral in (9) as follows:

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^n}\int\limits_{[0,1]} G_0(x,x,\lambda)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx)\,d\lambda = \sum_{|\lambda_N^0|<R^2}\int\limits_{[0,1]} y_N(x)\overline{z_N(x)}\,\mathfrak{q}(dx). \tag{14}$$

Here y_N and z_N denote the eigenfunctions of operators $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_N^0 and $\overline{\lambda_N^0}$ respectively and normalized as follows:

$$\langle y_N, z_N\rangle := \int\limits_0^1 y_N(x)\overline{z_N(x)}\,dx = 1.$$

If the eigenvalue $\lambda_N^0 = \lambda_{N+1}^0$ corresponds to a two-dimensional Jordan block (in this case the same is true for $\overline{\lambda_N^0} = \overline{\lambda_{N+1}^0}$), then the term $y_N(x)\overline{z_N(x)}$ in the right-hand side of (14) should be replaced by

$$y_N(x)\overline{\widehat{z}_{N+1}(x)} + \widehat{y}_{N+1}(x)\overline{z_N(x)}.$$

Here $\widehat{y}_{N+1}$ and $\widehat{z}_{N+1}$ stand for the adjoined functions of $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ in these Jordan blocks, and the normalization condition has the form

$$\langle y_N, z_N\rangle = \langle \widehat{y}_{N+1}, \widehat{z}_{N+1}\rangle = 0; \qquad \langle y_N, \widehat{z}_{N+1}\rangle = \langle \widehat{y}_{N+1}, z_N\rangle = 1. \tag{15}$$

Thus we need to justify the passage to the limit in the sense of mean-value in the right-hand side of (14). We consider several cases.

The case $d_0 = d_1 = 0$ (the Dirichlet boundary conditions)[3]

This case is the simplest technically. The system of boundary conditions (2) can be reduced to the form

$$y(0) = 0, \qquad y(1) = 0.$$

The operator $-D^2$ with these boundary conditions is selfadjoint, its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are as follows:

$$\lambda_N^0 = (\pi N)^2, \qquad y_N(x) = z_N(x) = C\sin(\pi N x), \qquad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking into account the normalization condition we have

$$y_N(x)\overline{z_N(x)} = 1 - \cos(2\pi N x).$$

The constant vanishes after integration in view of the assumption $\int\limits_{[a,b]} \mathfrak{q}(dx) = 0$ while the cosine disappears after passage to the limit due to Proposition 4.1. Thus formula (9) is proved.

[3] As we mentioned in the Introduction this case was considered in the paper [8].

The case $d_0 = d_1 = 1$

In this case the system (2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{cases} y'(0) + c_0 y(0) + f_0 y(1) = 0, \\ y'(1) + c_1 y(0) + f_1 y(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and the boundary conditions of the adjoint operator have the form

$$\begin{cases} z'(0) + \overline{c}_0 z(0) - \overline{c}_1 z(1) = 0, \\ z'(1) - \overline{f}_0 z(0) + \overline{f}_1 z(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using the algorithm in [3, Ch. II, §4] we write down the asymptotic expansions for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions up to $O(N^{-2})$, see Appendix, part 1. Taking into account the normalization condition we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_{N+1}(x)\overline{z_{N+1}(x)} &= 1 + \cos(2\pi N x) - 2\,\frac{\sin(2\pi N x)}{\pi N}\,(c_0(1-x) + f_1 x) \\ &+ (-1)^N\,\frac{\sin(2\pi N x)}{\pi N}\,(c_1 - f_0)(1-2x) + O(N^{-2}). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Similarly to the Dirichlet case, the first two terms of this expansion disappear after integration and passage to the limit in (14). The other terms in (16) generate the series converging at every point of the segment $[0,1]$. Moreover, the partial sums of this series are uniformly bounded. Denote the sum of this series by $g(x)$. Then the Lebesgue Theorem gives

$$(\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{[0,1]} y_N(x)\overline{z_N(x)}\,\mathfrak{q}(dx) = \int\limits_{[0,1]} g(x)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx).$$

But we already know that for smooth $\mathfrak{q}$ the left-hand side of this equality equals zero. This implies $g(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in [0,1]$.

It remains to notice that according to well-known formula [5, 5.4.2.9], after summation the third term in (16) gives a function continuous except maybe for the endpoints of the segment. Next, by [5, 5.4.2.10] the fourth term gives after summation a continuous function (the discontinuity at the point $\frac{1}{2}$ disappears because of the factor $1-2x$). The remainder term also gives a continuous function. Therefore g can differ from zero only at points 0 and 1. However, by the assumptions imposed on $\mathfrak{q}$ these points do not contribute to the integral. This completes the proof of formula (9).

The case $d_0 = 0$, $d_1 = 1$

A general form of the boundary conditions in this case is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} a_0 y(0) + b_0 y(1) = 0, \\ a_1 y'(0) + b_1 y'(1) + c_1 y(0) + f_1 y(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality we can assume $a_1 \neq 0$. Then the boundary conditions of the adjoint operator have the form

$$\begin{cases} \overline{b}_0 y'(0) + \overline{a}_0 y'(1) + \dfrac{1}{\overline{a}_1}\,(\overline{c_1 b_0} - \overline{f_1 a_0}) y(0) = 0, \\ \overline{b}_1 y(0) + \overline{a}_1 y(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$\mathfrak{A} = b_1 a_0 + a_1 b_0; \qquad \mathfrak{B} = f_1 a_0 - c_1 b_0; \qquad \mathfrak{C} = a_1 a_0 + b_1 b_0$$

(recall that $\mathfrak{A} \neq 0$ by regularity of boundary conditions).

The case $\mathfrak{C} = \pm\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B} = 0$: double eigenvalues

In this case the system of boundary conditions for the operator $\mathbb{L}_0$ can be simplified as follows:

$$a_0 y(0) + b_0 y(1) = 0, \qquad a_1 y'(0) + b_1 y'(1) = 0.$$

Suppose that[4] $\mathfrak{C} = -\mathfrak{A}$. Then we have three variants:

1. $a_1 + b_1 = 0$, $a_0 + b_0 = 0$;
2. $a_1 + b_1 = 0$, $a_0 + b_0 \neq 0$;
3. $a_1 + b_1 \neq 0$, $a_0 + b_0 = 0$.

It is easy to see that the third variant can be obtained from the second one by substitution $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ for $\mathbb{L}_0$.

Variant $a_1 + b_1 = 0$, $a_0 + b_0 = 0$: no Jordan blocks. In this simple case the boundary conditions are reduced to the periodic ones:

$$y'(0) - y'(1) = 0, \qquad y(0) - y(1) = 0.$$

The operator $\mathbb{L}_0$ with these boundary conditions is selfadjoint. Its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^0 = 0, \qquad & y_1(x) = z_1(x) \equiv 1; \\ \lambda_{2N}^0 = \lambda_{2N+1}^0 = (2\pi N)^2, \qquad & y_{2N}(x) = z_{2N}(x) = C\sin(2\pi N x), \\ & y_{2N+1}(x) = z_{2N+1}(x) = C\cos(2\pi N x), \qquad N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the normalization condition we have

$$y_{2N}(x)\overline{z_{2N}(x)} = 1 - \cos(4\pi N x); \qquad y_{2N+1}(x)\overline{z_{2N+1}(x)} = 1 + \cos(4\pi N x).$$

The pairwise summation yields a constant that disappears after the integration. Formula (9) is obvious.

[4] In the case $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A}$ all formulas are quite similar if we write the asymptotic expansions in powers of $N - \frac{1}{2}$.

Variant $a_1 + b_1 = 0$, $a_0 + b_0 \neq 0$: Jordan blocks. The boundary conditions have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_0 : &\quad a_0 y(0) + b_0 y(1) = 0, \quad y'(0) - y'(1) = 0; \\ \mathbb{L}_0^* : &\quad \overline{b}_0 z'(0) + \overline{a}_0 z'(1) = 0, \quad z(0) - z(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We write down the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, see Appendix, part 2. Taking into account the condition (15) we obtain

$$y_{2N}(x)\overline{\widehat{z}_{2N+1}(x)} + \widehat{y}_{2N+1}(x)\overline{z_{2N}(x)} = 2 + 2\cos(4\pi N x)\,\frac{(a_0+b_0)(2x-1)}{a_0-b_0}. \tag{17}$$

Subtracting a proper smooth function we can assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies additional conditions

$$\int\limits_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]} (2x-1)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx) = \int\limits_{[\frac{1}{2},1]} (2x-1)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Then the measure $\widetilde{\mathfrak{q}}(dx) = (2x-1)\,\mathfrak{q}(dx)$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.1 on segments $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Therefore the right-hand side in (17) vanishes after integration and passage to the limit in (14). Since (18) implies $\int\limits_{[0,1]} y_1(x)\overline{z_1(x)}\,\mathfrak{q}(dx) = 0$, formula (9) is proved.

The case $\mathfrak{C} = \pm\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B} \neq 0$: asymptotically close eigenvalues

As in the previous case we suppose that $\mathfrak{C} = -\mathfrak{A}$ (the case $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A}$ is similar). Then the assumptions on $\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{C}$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$(a_1 + b_1)(a_0 + b_0) = 0; \qquad f_1 a_0 - c_1 b_0 \neq 0.$$

We again have three variants:

- $a_0 + b_0 = 0$, $a_1 + b_1 \neq 0$, $c_1 + f_1 \neq 0$;
- $a_0 + b_0 \neq 0$, $a_1 + b_1 = 0$;
- $a_0 + b_0 = 0$, $a_1 + b_1 = 0$, $c_1 + f_1 \neq 0$.

One can easily see that the second variant can be obtained from the first one by substitution $\mathbb{L}_0$ for $\mathbb{L}_0^*$.

The first variant. We write down the asymptotic expansions for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions up to $O(N^{-4})$, see Appendix, part 3.1, and take into account the normalization condition. Combining pairwise the terms corresponding to asymptotically close eigenvalues we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2N}(x)\overline{z_{2N}(x)} \;&+\; y_{2N+1}(x)\overline{z_{2N+1}(x)} = \eta_N^+(x)\overline{\zeta_N^+(x)} + \eta_N^-(x)\overline{\zeta_N^-(x)} \\ = 2 \;&+\; 2\cos(4\pi N x)\,\frac{(a_1+b_1)(1-2x)}{a_1-b_1} \\ &+\; 2\sin(4\pi N x)\,\frac{(c_1+f_1)(1-2x)(b_1 x - a_1(1-x))}{(a_1-b_1)^2\pi N} + O(N^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms are summed up as in formula (17) and the last two ones – as in (16). Formula (9) is proved.

The third variant. In this variant the system of boundary conditions for the operator $\mathbb{L}_0$ can be reduced:

$$y(0) - y(1) = 0, \qquad y'(0) - y'(1) + c_1 y(0) = 0.$$

We write down the asymptotic expansions for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions up to $O(N^{-6})$, see Appendix, part 3.2, and take into account the normalization condition. Combining pairwise the terms corresponding to asymptotically close eigenvalues we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2N}(x)\overline{z_{2N}(x)} + y_{2N+1}(x)\overline{z_{2N+1}(x)} &= \eta_N^+(x)\overline{\zeta_N^+(x)} + \eta_N^-(x)\overline{\zeta_N^-(x)} \\ &= 2 + \sin(4\pi N x)\,\frac{c_1(2x-1)}{2\pi N} + O(N^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

This series can be summed up as in formula (16). Formula (9) is proved.

Strongly regular case $\mathfrak{C} \neq \pm\mathfrak{A}$

To simplify the proof we use the following obvious lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} = 0$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k &= (\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_{2k-1}+a_{2k}) - \frac{1}{2}\cdot(\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{2k} \\ &= (\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_{2k}+a_{2k+1}) + a_1 - \frac{1}{2}\cdot(\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{2k+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

i.e. if one of the expressions in the right-hand side of (19) converges then the series in the left-hand side converges.

We write down the asymptotic expansions for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions up to $O(N^{-2})$, see Appendix, part 4. It is easy to see that $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} y_N(x)\overline{z_N(x)} = 0$, so we can apply the second part of formula (19). We start from pairwise sums

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2N}(x)\overline{z_{2N}(x)} &+ y_{2N+1}(x)\overline{z_{2N+1}(x)} = \eta_N^+(x)\overline{\zeta_N^+(x)} + \eta_N^-(x)\overline{\zeta_N^-(x)} \\ = 2 &+ 2\cos(4\pi N x)V_0(x,\alpha) + \frac{2}{N}\sin(4\pi N x)W_1(x,\alpha) + O(N^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms here are summed up as in formula (17), the last two ones – as in (16) taking into account the relation (21).

Now we consider the last limit in formula (19). It can be rewritten in two ways depending on α:

$$(\mathcal{C},1)\text{-}\lim_{N\to\infty} y_{2N+1}(x)\overline{z_{2N+1}(x)}$$
$$= 1 + \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{N=1}^{k}\cos(4\pi Nx)V_0(x,\pm\alpha) + \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{N=1}^{k}\sin(4\pi Nx)V_1(x,\pm\alpha)$$
$$+ \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{N=1}^{k}\frac{1}{N}\cos(4\pi Nx)W_0(x,\pm\alpha) + \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{N=1}^{k}\frac{1}{N}\sin(4\pi Nx)W_1(x,\pm\alpha).$$

The constant disappears after integration as before. The second and the third terms are uniformly bounded and converge pointwise. Moreover, the limit equals zero everywhere except points 0 and 1 (here we again used the relations (21)). The last two terms converge to zero uniformly. By the Lesbegue Theorem, we can pass to the limit under the integral sign. By the assumptions imposed on $\mathfrak{q}$ the endpoints do not contribute to the integral, and formula (9) is proved. □

5 Proof of the main result

Proof of Theorem 2.5. We start from formula (12) from the paper [4]. For $n = 2$ and $x = y$ it reads

$$G_0(x,x,\lambda) = \tilde{G}_0(x,x,z) = \frac{\Delta_{1,1}(z) + e^{-2izx}\Delta_{1,2}(z) - e^{2izx}\Delta_{2,1}(z) - \Delta_{2,2}(z)}{2iz\Delta(z)} \tag{20}$$

(recall that $z = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$). Here $\Delta(z)$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ stand for determinants of order n matrices defined in [4, Sec. 2.1]. In our case they have the following asymptotics as $z \to \infty$:

$$\Delta(z) = \hat{\Delta}(z)e^{iz(a-b)}(iz)^{d_0+d_1}\cdot(1+O(z^{-1})),$$
$$\hat{\Delta}(z) = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 + b_0e^{iz(b-a)} & (-1)^{d_0}(a_0e^{iz(b-a)} + b_0) \\ a_1 + b_1e^{iz(b-a)} & (-1)^{d_1}(a_1e^{iz(b-a)} + b_1)\end{vmatrix};$$

$$\Delta_{1,1}(z) = \hat{\Delta}_{1,1}(z)(iz)^{d_0+d_1}\cdot(1+O(z^{-1})), \qquad \hat{\Delta}_{1,1}(z) = \begin{vmatrix} b_0 & (-1)^{d_0}(a_0e^{iz(b-a)} + b_0) \\ b_1 & (-1)^{d_1}(a_1e^{iz(b-a)} + b_1)\end{vmatrix};$$

$$\Delta_{1,2}(z) = \hat{\Delta}_{1,2}e^{iz(a+b)}(iz)^{d_0+d_1}\cdot(1+O(z^{-1})), \qquad \hat{\Delta}_{1,2} = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ a_1 & b_1\end{vmatrix};$$

$$\Delta_{2,1}(z) = \hat{\Delta}_{2,1}e^{-iz(a+b)}(iz)^{d_0+d_1}\cdot(1+O(z^{-1})), \qquad \hat{\Delta}_{2,1} = (-1)^{d_0+d_1+1}\cdot\hat{\Delta}_{1,2};$$

$$\Delta_{2,2}(z) = \hat{\Delta}_{2,2}(z)e^{iz(a-b)}(iz)^{d_0+d_1}\cdot(1+O(z^{-1})),$$
$$\hat{\Delta}_{2,2}(z) = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 + b_0e^{iz(b-a)} & (-1)^{d_0}b_0 \\ a_0 + b_0e^{iz(b-a)} & (-1)^{d_1}b_1\end{vmatrix}.$$

By assumptions $|z| = R$ is separated from $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Due to regularity of the boundary conditions (2) the determinant $\hat{\Delta}(z)$ is separated from zero. Therefore,

$$\tilde{G}_0(x,x,z) = \frac{\hat{\Delta}_{1,1}(z)e^{2iz(b-a)} + \hat{\Delta}_{1,2}e^{2iz(b-x)} - \hat{\Delta}_{2,1}e^{2iz(x-a)} - \hat{\Delta}_{2,2}(z)}{2iz\hat{\Delta}(z)} \cdot (1 + O(z^{-1})).$$

Since $z = Rw \in R(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$ belongs to the upper half-plane, all exponents are bounded uniformly and tend to zero as $R \to \infty$ for all $x \in (a,b)$ and $w \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. By the Lebesgue Theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \int\limits_{|\lambda|=R^2} G_0(x,x,\lambda)^2\, d\lambda = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int\limits_{z=R(\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2)} \tilde{G}_0(x,x,z)^2\, 2z\, dz$$

$$= -\lim_{R\to\infty} \int\limits_{z=R(\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2)} \Big(\frac{\hat{\Delta}_{2,2}(z)}{\hat{\Delta}(z)}\Big)^2 \frac{dz}{2z} = -\lim_{R\to\infty} \int\limits_{z=R(\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_2)} \frac{dz}{2z} = -\frac{\pi i}{2}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We consider formula (8) and pass to the limit as $R \to \infty$ as it is explained in Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.4 shows that the first term in (8) converges to the sum of the first two terms in (7). Further, the integrand in the second term of (8) has a summable majorant in view of the estimate from Proposition 3.2. Theorem 2.5 and the Lebesgue Theorem provide the last term in (7).

It is well known (see e.g. [10], or the proof of the Theorem 2.4) that if the boundary conditions (2) are strongly regular, then the values $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are asymptotically separated. Thus in this case passage to the limit in Theorem 2.4 corresponds to summation of the series $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ by mean-value method, and the statement of Theorem in this case follows.

If the boundary conditions (2) are regular but not strongly regular then the values $|\lambda_N^0|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are either pairwise asymptotically close or pairwise coincide. Therefore passage to the limit in Theorem 2.4 corresponds to summation of the series $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ in the following way: first we add pairwise the asymptotically the terms corresponding to close or coinciding eigenvalues, then the obtained series is summed up by mean-value method.

It remains to notice that $\lambda_N(\mathfrak{q}) - \lambda_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. Therefore Lemma 4.1 provides the statement of Theorem in this case. □

Appendix

1. The case $d_0 = d_1 = 1$

The square roots of the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathbb{L}_0$ have the following asymptotics:

$$\rho_{N+1} := (\lambda_{N+1}^0)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \pi N + \frac{f_1 - c_0}{\pi N} + (-1)^N \frac{c_1 - f_0}{\pi N} + O(N^{-2}).$$

The eigenfunctions of the operators $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ for $\rho_N \neq 0$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} y_N(x) &= C_1 \Big(\cos(\rho_N x) - c_0 \frac{\sin(\rho_N x)}{\rho_N} + f_0 \frac{\sin(\rho_N(1-x))}{\rho_N} \Big); \\ \overline{z_N(x)} &= C_2 \Big(\cos(\rho_N x) - c_0 \frac{\sin(\rho_N x)}{\rho_N} - c_1 \frac{\sin(\rho_N(1-x))}{\rho_N} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotics of eigenfunctions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{N+1}(x) &= C_1 \Big(\cos(\pi N x) - \sin(\pi N x) \frac{c_0(1-x) + f_1 x}{\pi N} \\ &\quad -(-1)^N \sin(\pi N x) \frac{f_0(1-x) + c_1 x}{\pi N} \Big) + O(N^{-2}); \\ \overline{z_{N+1}(x)} &= C_2 \Big(\cos(\pi N x) - \sin(\pi N x) \frac{c_0(1-x) + f_1 x}{\pi N} \\ &\quad +(-1)^N \sin(\pi N x) \frac{c_1(1-x) + f_0 x}{\pi N} \Big) + O(N^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotics of scalar products is

$$\langle y_{N+1}, z_{N+1} \rangle = \frac{C_1 C_2}{2} + O(N^{-2}).$$

2. The case $d_0 = 0$, $d_1 = 1$. Jordan blocks

Recall that we consider the case $\mathfrak{C} = -\mathfrak{A}$. In this case the operators $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ have the eigenvalue $\lambda_1^0 = 0$ corresponding to eigenfunctions $y_1(x) = x - \frac{a_0}{a_0+b_0}$ and $z_1(x) \equiv const$. The constant is chosen to meet the normalization conditions. All other eigenvalues are $\lambda_{2N}^0 = \lambda_{2N+1}^0 = (2\pi N)^2$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

The corresponding eigenfunctions and adjoined functions satisfying the first pair of conditions (15) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2N}(x) &= C_1 \sin(2\pi N x), \\ \widehat{y}_{2N+1}(x) &= C_1 \Big(\frac{x \cos(2\pi N x)}{4\pi N} + \frac{\sin(2\pi N x)}{16\pi^2 N^2} - \frac{b_0 \cos(2\pi N x)}{4\pi N(a_0+b_0)} \Big); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{z_{2N}(x)} &= C_2 \cos(2\pi N x), \\ \overline{\widehat{z}_{2N+1}(x)} &= C_2 \Big(-\frac{x \sin(2\pi N x)}{4\pi N} - \frac{\cos(2\pi N x)}{16\pi^2 N^2} + \frac{a_0 \sin(2\pi N x)}{4\pi N(a_0+b_0)} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Scalar products:

$$\langle y_{2N}, \widehat{z}_{2N+1} \rangle = \langle \widehat{y}_{2N+1}, z_{2N} \rangle = \frac{C_1 C_2 (a_0 - b_0)}{16\pi N(a_0+b_0)}$$

(notice that $a_0 \neq b_0$ since $\mathfrak{A} \neq 0$).

3. The case $d_0 = 0$, $d_1 = 1$. Asymptotically close eigenvalues

Recall that we again consider the case $\mathfrak{C} = -\mathfrak{A}$. In this case all the eigenvalues of $\mathbb{L}_0$ except for λ_1^0 pair up, λ_{2N} and λ_{2N+1}, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, which come close as $N \to \infty$. Denote the square roots of the eigenvalues of these pairs by $\rho_N^{\pm}$. Furthermore, one of them equals $2\pi N$ (without loss of generality let it be ρ_N^+). Notice that the scalar products of the corresponding eigenfunctions (we denote them by $\eta_N^{\pm}$ and $\zeta_N^{\pm}$) tend to zero as $N \to \infty$. Therefore we write down the asymptotic formulas of eigenvalues ρ_N^- and eigenfunctions η_N^-, ζ_N^- up to $O(N^{-4})$ in the variant 3.1 and up to $O(N^{-6})$ in the variant 3.2.

3.1. Variant $a_0 + b_0 = 0$, $a_1 + b_1 \neq 0$

In this variant we obtain

$$\rho_N^- = 2\pi N + \frac{\mathfrak{B}}{\pi N \mathfrak{A}} - \frac{6\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}^2 + \mathfrak{B}^3}{12\mathfrak{A}^3 N^3 \pi^3} + O(N^{-4}).$$

The eigenfunctions of the operators $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_N^+(x) &= C_1\Big((a_1+b_1)\cos(2\pi N x) - (c_1+f_1)\,\frac{\sin(2\pi N x)}{2\pi N}\Big), \\ \overline{\zeta_N^+(x)} &= C_2 \sin(2\pi N x); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_N^-(x) &= C_1\Big(a_1\cos(\rho_N^- x) + b_1\cos(\rho_N^-(1-x)) - c_1\,\frac{\sin(\rho_N^- x)}{\rho_N^-} + f_1\,\frac{\sin(\rho_N^-(1-x))}{\rho_N^-}\Big), \\ \overline{\zeta_N^-(x)} &= C_2\Big(b_1\sin(\rho_N^- x) - a_1\sin(\rho_N^-(1-x))\Big). \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotics of scalar products:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta_N^+, \zeta_N^+ \rangle &= -\frac{C_1 C_2 (c_1+f_1)}{4\pi N}; \\ \langle \eta_N^-, \zeta_N^- \rangle &= C_1 C_2\Big(\frac{(c_1+f_1)(a_1+b_1)}{4\pi N} - \frac{(c_1+f_1)^2(a_1 f_1 + c_1 b_1)}{8(a_1-b_1)^2\pi^3 N^3}\Big) + O(N^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

(notice that $a_0 \neq b_0$ since $\mathfrak{A} \neq 0$).

3.2. Variant $a_0 + b_0 = 0$, $a_1 + b_1 = 0$

In this variant we obtain

$$\rho_N^- = 2\pi N - \frac{c_1}{2\pi N} + \frac{c_1^3 - 12c_1^2}{96\pi^3 N^3} + \frac{c_1^4 - 6c_1^3}{96\pi^5 N^5} + O(N^{-6}).$$

The eigenfunctions of the operators $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ have the form

$$\eta_N^+(x) = C_1 \sin(2\pi N x), \qquad \overline{\zeta_N^+(x)} = C_2 \sin(2\pi N x);$$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_N^-(x) &= C_1\Big(\sin(\rho^- x)+\sin(\rho^-(1-x))\Big),\\ \overline{\zeta_N^-(x)} &= C_2\Big(\sin(\rho^- x)+\sin(\rho^(1-x))\Big).\end{aligned}$$

The asymptotics of scalar products:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \eta_N^+,\zeta_N^+\rangle &= \frac{C_1C_2}{2};\\ \langle \eta_N^-,\zeta_N^-\rangle &= C_1C_2\Big(\frac{c_1^2}{8\pi^2N^2}-\frac{c_1^4-4c_1^3}{128\pi^4N^4}\Big)+O(N^{-6}).\end{aligned}$$

4. The case $d_0=0$, $d_1=1$. Separated eigenvalues

In this case the square roots of the eigenvalues λ_{2N}, λ_{2N+1}, $N\in\mathbb{N}$ of the operator $\mathbb{L}_0$ form two sequences asymptotically close to two different arithmetic progressions with arithmetical ratio 2π. Denote these roots by $\rho_N^\pm$. Then we have, as $N\to\infty$,

$$\rho_N^\pm = 2\pi N\pm\alpha+\frac{\mathfrak{B}}{2\pi N\mathfrak{A}}+O(N^{-2}),$$

where

$$\alpha = i\log\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{A}}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{A}}\right)^2-1}\right),$$

and the branch of the logarithm is chosen so that $|\Re(\alpha)|<\pi$ (the choice of another branch yields only to renumbering of eigenvalues). Notice that the condition $\mathfrak{C}\neq\pm\mathfrak{A}$ implies $\sin(\alpha)\neq 0$.

The eigenfunctions of the operators $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^*$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_N^\pm(x) &= a_0\sin(\rho_N^\pm x)-b_0\sin(\rho_N^\pm(1-x)),\\ \overline{\zeta_N^\pm(x)} &= b_0\cos(\rho_N^\pm x)+a_0\cos(\rho_N^\pm(1-x))+\mathfrak{B}\,\frac{\sin(\rho_N^\pm x)}{a_1\rho_N^\pm}.\end{aligned}$$

The asymptotics of scalar products:

$$\langle\eta_N^\pm,\zeta_N^\pm\rangle = \pm\sin(\alpha)\frac{a_0^2-b_0^2}{2}+\mathfrak{B}\frac{\mathfrak{A}a_0+(\mathfrak{A}b_0+a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2))\cos(\alpha)}{4\pi\mathfrak{A}a_1N}+O(N^{-2})$$

(notice that $a_0\neq\pm b_0$ since $\mathfrak{C}\neq\pm\mathfrak{A}$).

The normalized products have the following asymptotics:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_N^\pm(x)\overline{\zeta_N^\pm(x)} = 1 &+ \cos(4\pi Nx)V_0(x,\pm\alpha)+\sin(4\pi Nx)V_1(x,\pm\alpha)\\ &+ \frac{1}{N}\cos(4\pi Nx)W_0(x,\pm\alpha)+\frac{1}{N}\sin(4\pi Nx)W_1(x,\pm\alpha)+O(N^{-2}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$V_0(x,\alpha)=\frac{\sin(\alpha(2x-1))}{(a_0^2-b_0^2)\sin(\alpha)}\,(a_0^2+b_0^2+2a_0b_0\cos(\alpha));$$

$$V_1(x,\alpha)=\frac{\cos(\alpha(2x-1))}{(a_0^2-b_0^2)\sin(\alpha)}\,(a_0^2+b_0^2+2a_0b_0\cos(\alpha));$$
$$W_0(x,\alpha)=\frac{\mathfrak{B}(2\mathcal{R}_1\sin(\alpha)\cos(2\alpha x)-\mathcal{R}_2\sin(2\alpha x))}{4\mathfrak{A}a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2)^2\pi\sin^2(\alpha)};$$
$$W_1(x,\alpha)=-\frac{\mathfrak{B}(2\mathcal{R}_1\sin(\alpha)\sin(2\alpha x)+\mathcal{R}_2\cos(2\alpha x))}{4\mathfrak{A}a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2)^2\pi\sin^2(\alpha)};$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_1 &= a_0b_0(3\mathfrak{A}b_0+a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2)(1+2x))+2(a_0^2+b_0^2)(\mathfrak{A}b_0+a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2)x)\cos(\alpha)\\ &+ a_0b_0(\mathfrak{A}b_0+a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2)(2x-1))\cos(2\alpha);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_2 = 4\mathfrak{A}a_0^2b_0 &+ 2a_1(a_0^4-b_0^4)(1-x)\\ &+ a_0(\mathfrak{A}(2a_0^2+5b_0^2)+a_1b_0(a_0^2-b_0^2)(5-2x))\cos(\alpha)\\ &+ 2(a_0^2+b_0^2)(\mathfrak{A}b_0+a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2)x)\cos(2\alpha)\\ &+ a_0b_0(\mathfrak{A}b_0+a_1(a_0^2-b_0^2)(2x-1))\cos(3\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *The functions $V_0(x,\alpha)$, $V_1(x,\alpha)$, $W_0(x,\alpha)$ and $W_1(x,\alpha)$ are continuous in both variables if $\sin(\alpha)\neq 0$ and satisfy the following identities:*

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(x,\alpha)\equiv V_0(x,-\alpha), &\qquad V_1(x,\alpha)\equiv -V_1(x,-\alpha);\\ W_1(x,\alpha)\equiv W_1(x,-\alpha), &\qquad W_0(x,\alpha)\equiv -W_0(x,-\alpha);\\ V_0(\tfrac12,\alpha)\equiv 0, &\qquad W_1(\tfrac12,\alpha)\equiv 0. \end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ are even functions of α, all statements of the Lemma except for the last one are obvious. Taking into account the relation $\mathfrak{A}\cos(\alpha)+\mathfrak{C}=0$ we obtain that the numerator in W_1 can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{R}_1\sin(\alpha)\sin(2\alpha x) &+ \mathcal{R}_2\cos(2\alpha x)=2\sin(\alpha(x-\tfrac12))\\ &\times \Big(\big(a_0a_1b_0(a_0^2-b_0^2)(2x-1)+a_0b_0^2\mathfrak{A}\big)\sin(\alpha(\tfrac52-x))\\ &+ \big(2a_1(a_0^4-b_0^4)x+2b_0(a_0^2+b_0^2)\mathfrak{A}\big)\sin(\alpha(\tfrac32-x))\\ &- \big(5a_0^3a_1b_0+a_0a_1b_0^3+a_0^4b_1+5a_0^2b_0^2b_1\big)\sin(\alpha(x-\tfrac12))\\ &- \big(2a_1(a_0^4-b_0^4)(1-x)+4a_0^2b_0\mathfrak{A}\big)\sin(\alpha(\tfrac12+x))\\ &+ \big(a_0a_1b_0(a_0^2-b_0^2)(2x-1)-a_0^3\mathfrak{A}\big)\sin(\alpha(\tfrac32+x))\Big), \end{aligned}$$

and the last equality in (21) is proved. □

Acknowledgments

The main results of the paper, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, are obtained under support of the Russian Science Foundation grant N14-21-00035. Theorem 2.3 was obtained under support of RFBR grant 16-01-00258a.

References

[1] I. M. Gelfand, B. M. Levitan, *On a simple identity for the eigenvalues of a second-order differential operator*, DAN SSSR, **88** (1953), 593–596 (Russian).

[2] N. N. Konechnaya, T. A. Safonova, R. N. Tagirova, *Asymptotics of eigenvalues and regularised first-order trace of the Sturm-Liouville operator with δ-potential*, Vestnik SAFU, 2016, N1, 104–113 (Russian).

[3] M. A. Naimark, *Linear differential operators*, ed.2, Moscow, Nauka, 1969 (Russian). English transl. of the first ed.: *Linear Differential Operators, V.1: Elementary theory of linear differential operators*, Harrap, 1967.

[4] A. I. Nazarov, D. M. Stolyarov, P. B. Zatitskiy, *The Tamarkin equiconvergence theorem and a first-order trace formula for regular differential operators revisited*, J. Spectral Theory, **4** (2014), N2, 365–389.

[5] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series. Elementary Functions*, Moscow, Nauka, 1981 (Russian). English transl.: *Integrals and Series, V.I: Elementary Functions*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1998.

[6] V. A. Sadovnichii, V. E. Podolskii, *Traces of operators*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **61** (2006), N5, 89–156 (Russian). English transl.: Russian Math. Surveys, **61** (2006), N5, 885–953.

[7] A. M. Savchuk, *First-order regularised trace of the Sturm-Liouville operator with δ-potential*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **55** (2000), N6, 155–156 (Russian). English transl.: Russian Math. Surveys, **55** (2000), N6, 1168–1169.

[8] A. M. Savchuk, A. A. Shkalikov, *Trace Formula for Sturm–Liouville Operators with Singular Potentials*, Matem. Zametki, **69** (2001), N3, 427–442 (Russian). English transl.: Math. Notes, **69** (2001), N3, 387–400.

[9] R. F. Shevchenko, *On the trace of a differential operator*, DAN SSSR, **164** (1965), N1, 62–65 (Russian). English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl., **6** (1965), 1183–1186.

[10] A. A. Shkalikov, *Boundary-value problems for ordinary differential equations with a parameter in the boundary conditions*, Trudy Seminara I.G. Petrovskogo, **9** (1983), 190–229 (Russian). English transl.: J. Soviet Math. **33** (1986), 1311–1342.

[11] J. D. Tamarkin, *On some general problems of the theory of ordinary linear differential operators and on expansion of arbitrary function into serii*, Petrograd. 1917, 308 p. (Russian)